arXiv:1702.07296v1 [math.NT] 23 Feb 2017

ON INTERLACING OF ZEROS OF CERTAIN FAMILY OF MODULAR FORMS

EKATA SAHA AND N. SARADHA

Abstract. Let $k = 12m(k) + s \geq 12$ for $s \in \{0, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14\}$, be an even integer and f be a normalised modular form of weight k with real Fourier coefficients, written as

$$f = E_k + \sum_{j=1}^{m(k)} a_j E_{k-12j} \Delta^j.$$

Under suitable conditions on a_j (rectifying an earlier result of Getz), we show that all the zeros of f, in the standard fundamental domain for the action of $\mathbf{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on the upper half plane, lies on the arc $A := \left\{e^{i\theta} : \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{2\pi}{3}\right\}$. Further, extending a result of Nozaki, we show that for certain family $\{f_k\}_k$ of normalised modular forms, the zeros of f_k and f_{k+12} interlace on $A^\circ := \left\{e^{i\theta} : \frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \frac{2\pi}{3}\right\}$.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{H}$ denote the complex upper half plane. Then the full modular group $\mathbf{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\mathbb{H}$ by the transformation law

$$z \mapsto \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$$

for $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathbf{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. The standard fundamental domain for this action of $\mathbf{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{H}$ is the following subset of $\mathbb{H}$,

$$\mathbb{F} := \left\{|z| \geq 1, -\frac{1}{2} \leq \Re(z) \leq 0\right\} \cup \left\{|z| > 1, 0 < \Re(z) < \frac{1}{2}\right\}.$$

Throughout this article we take $k \geq 4$ to be an even integer. For $z \in \mathbb{H}$, the Eisenstein series of weight k for the full modular group $\mathbf{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is defined by the following absolutely convergent series,

$$E_k(z) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{c,d \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (c,d)=1}} \frac{1}{(cz + d)^k}.$$

The Eisenstein series of weight 0 is defined by $E_0 := 1$. The Eisenstein series E_k is a modular form of weight k for $\mathbf{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. It is classical that the space of modular forms of weight k is generated by the Eisenstein series E_k and cusp forms of weight k. We write $k = 12m(k)+s$ with $s \in \{0, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14\}$. We will use this notation for k throughout the article without any further mention. The unique normalised cusp form of weight 12, denoted by Δ, is defined as follows:

$$\Delta := \frac{E_4^3 - E_6^2}{1728}.$$

Date: February 24, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F11, 11F03.

Key words and phrases. modular forms, location of zeros, interlacing of zeros.

Rankin and Swinnerton-Dyer [8] proved that for $k \geq 4$, all the zeros of the Eisenstein series E_k lie in the arc

$$A := \left\{ |z| = 1, -\frac{1}{2} \leq \Re(z) \leq 0 \right\} = \left\{ e^{i\theta} : \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{2\pi}{3} \right\}.$$

In 2004, extending the arguments of Rankin and Swinnerton-Dyer, Getz [4] gave a criterion for a normalised modular form of weight k for $\mathbf{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$, written as $f = E_k + \sum_{j=1}^{m(k)} a_j E_{k-12j}\Delta^j$, to have all its zeros on the arc A, in terms of a_j's. However, there is a rectifiable error in his proof. While estimating $H(\theta)$ in [4, p. 2225, eq. (2.5)], he used an upper bound for $R_{k-12j}, 1 \leq j \leq m(k)$ from [4, p. 2224, eq. (2.3)] which is valid if $k - 12j \geq 12$. But $k - 12m(k)$ is always less than 12, unless it is 14. We present below a corrected version of his theorem.

Let us define

$$\delta_t = \begin{cases} -2 & \text{if } t = 0, \\ 1.009 & \text{if } t = 4, \\ 0.304 & \text{if } t = 6, \\ 0.122 & \text{if } t = 8, \\ 0.051 & \text{if } t = 10, \\ 0.022 & \text{if } t \geq 12. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Theorem 1. *Let $k \geq 12$ and f be a normalised modular form of weight k, written as*

$$f = E_k + \sum_{j=1}^{m(k)} a_j E_{k-12j}\Delta^j,$$

with $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m(k)$. Let $\epsilon := \sup_{z\in A} |\Delta(z)|$. Suppose that

$$(3+\delta_{12}) \sum_{j=1}^{m(k)-1} |a_j|\epsilon^j + (3+\delta_s)|a_{m(k)}|\epsilon^{m(k)} \leq 1 - \delta_{12}. \tag{2}$$

Then f has $m(k)$ zeros (other than possible zeros at $i, \rho := e^{2\pi i/3}$) in the fundamental domain $\mathbb{F}$ and they all lie on the arc A.

Remark 1. Note that δ_{12} above is smaller than the δ of [4, Theorem 1]. This better value is due to a more accurate estimation of a finite sum using computation. See §2, Lemma 1. Getz [4] computed $\epsilon \sim 0.004809\ldots$.

Apart from this kind of normalised modular forms there are other examples of families of modular forms, which have been shown to have their zeros on the arc A (see [9, 1, 2]). Now for the zeros of these families of modular forms, one interesting question is to ask about their possible *interlacing* property.

Definition 1. *Let $\alpha < \beta$. Suppose that f, g are two complex valued functions with simple zeros in the open interval (α, β). Let $\alpha < t_1 < \cdots < t_m < \beta$ and $\alpha < t_1^* < \cdots < t_{m+1}^* < \beta$ be the zeros of f and g, respectively. We say that zeros of f and g interlace in (α, β) if*

$$t_j^* < t_j < t_{j+1}^* \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

For example, the zeros of $\cos(n\theta)$ and $\cos((n+1)\theta)$ interlace in $(0,\pi)$ for an integer $n \geq 1$. Rankin and Swinnerton-Dyer [8] proved that the Eisenstein series E_k has $m(k)$ simple zeros in the open arc $A^\circ := \left\{e^{i\theta} : \frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \frac{2\pi}{3}\right\}$. For this, they considered the function

$$F_k(\theta) := e^{ik\theta/2} E_k(e^{i\theta}). \tag{3}$$

This is a real valued function for θ real and it has $m(k)$ zeros in the interval $(\pi/2, 2\pi/3)$ and so does $E_k(e^{i\theta})$ in A°. Note that $m(k+12) = m(k)+1$. Hence one may look for the interlacing property for the zeros of $F_k(\theta)$ and $F_{k+12}(\theta)$ for $\theta \in (\pi/2, 2\pi/3)$. In this instance, we say that the zeros of $E_k(e^{i\theta})$ and $E_{k+12}(e^{i\theta})$ interlace in A°. This was predicted by Gekeler [3] and proved by Nozaki [7].

For the zeros of certain families of weakly holomorphic modular forms considered by Asai, Kaneko and Ninomiya [1], their interlacing property was established by Jermann [6]. Similar properties for the zeros of the weakly holomorphic modular forms, studied by Duke and Jenkins [2], were proved by Jenkins and Pratt [5]. Here we establish the interlacing property of the zeros of certain family of normalised modular forms that were considered in Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *For each $k \geq 12$, let $(a_j^{(k)})_{1\leq j\leq m(k)}$ be real numbers such that*

$$(3+\delta_{12}) \sum_{j=1}^{m(k)-1} |a_j^{(k)}|\epsilon^j + (3+\delta_s)|a_{m(k)}^{(k)}|\epsilon^{m(k)} \leq 20\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k/2}, \tag{4}$$

where δ_s is as in (1). Then for the family of normalised modular forms $(f_k)_k$ for $\mathbf{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ defined by

$$f_k := E_k + \sum_{j=1}^{m(k)} a_j^{(k)} E_{k-12j}\Delta^j,$$

the zeros of f_k in the fundamental domain $\mathbb{F}$ lie on the arc A. Further, the zeros of f_k and those of f_{k+12} interlace in A° for each $k \geq 12$.

Remark 2. By (4), we see that (2) is satisfied. Hence by Theorem 1, all the zeros of $(f_k)_k$ lie on the arc A, thus giving the first assertion of Theorem 2.

Remark 3. Nozaki's result is a special case of Theorem 2 when $a_j^{(k)} = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m(k)$.

For proving the interlacing of the zeros of the Eisenstein series $E_k(z)$, Nozaki showed that $F_k(\theta)$ as defined in (3), is very well approximated by $2\cos(k\theta/2)$ for $\theta \in (\pi/2, 2\pi/3)$. This is an important step in his method. We are able to show that

$$G_k(\theta) := e^{ik\theta/2} f_k(e^{i\theta}) \tag{5}$$

is also well approximated by $2\cos(k\theta/2)$ for $\theta \in (\pi/2, 2\pi/3)$. See §3.1 for details. Both these functions have $m(k)$ zeros in $(\pi/2, 2\pi/3)$. If α is a zero of $\cos(k\theta/2)$ for $\theta \in (\pi/2, 2\pi/3)$, then there is a neighbourhood of α, say $(\alpha-\epsilon, \alpha+\epsilon)$, containing exactly one zero α^* of $G_k(\theta)$. It can be easily seen that, the zeros of $\cos(k\theta/2)$ and $\cos((k+12)\theta/2)$ interlace in $(\pi/2, 2\pi/3)$ (see §3.2). Thus there exist successive zeros β, γ of $\cos((k+12)\theta/2)$ with

$$\beta < \alpha < \gamma.$$

Again, there exist intervals of the form $(\beta-\delta,\beta+\delta)$ and $(\gamma-\mu,\gamma+\mu)$, each containing exactly one zero of $\cos((k+12)\theta/2)$, say β^*,γ^* respectively. Thus if

$$\beta+\delta<\alpha-\epsilon<\alpha+\epsilon<\gamma-\mu,$$

then we obtain that

$$\beta^*<\alpha^*<\gamma^*.$$

This argument is used to show that the zeros of $G_k(\theta)$ and $G_{k+12}(\theta)$ interlace in $(\pi/2,23\pi/36)$ (see §3.2, 4.1). This method does not work as we approach $2\pi/3$. For proving the interlacing property in the remaining interval we consider the interval $(19\pi/32,2\pi/3)$, which overlaps with $(\pi/2,23\pi/36)$. Here the method depends on analysing different cases according to the increasing or decreasing property of the cosine function at their respective zeros (see §4.2).

2. A lemma and Proof of Theorem 1

We begin this section with the following lemma. This will be used in the proof of both the Theorems 1 and 2.

Lemma 1. *Let $k\geq 4$ and $F_k(\theta):=e^{ik\theta/2}E_k(e^{i\theta})$ for $\theta\in[\pi/2,2\pi/3]$. Then*

$$F_k(\theta)=2\cos(k\theta/2)+\left(\frac{1}{2\cos(\theta/2)}\right)^k+\left(\frac{1}{2i\sin(\theta/2)}\right)^k+P_k(\theta),$$

where

$$|P_k(\theta)|<\begin{cases}0.759 & \text{if } k=4,\\ 0.179 & \text{if } k=6,\\ 0.059 & \text{if } k=8,\\ 0.019 & \text{if } k=10,\\ 0.359(\frac{1}{2})^{k/2} & \text{if } k\geq 12.\end{cases}$$

Remark 4. From Lemma 1 we obtain that

$$\sup_{\theta\in[\pi/2,2\pi/3]}|F_k(\theta)|=\sup_{z\in A}|E_k(z)|<\begin{cases}4.009 & \text{if } k=4,\\ 3.304 & \text{if } k=6,\\ 3.122 & \text{if } k=8,\\ 3.051 & \text{if } k=10,\\ 3+1.359(\frac{1}{2})^{k/2} & \text{if } k\geq 12.\end{cases}$$

In particular, we shall use the following bounds for the proof of Theorem 1. For $k\geq 4$,

$$\sup_{\theta\in[\pi/2,2\pi/3]}|F_k(\theta)|\leq 3+\left|\frac{1}{2i\sin(\theta/2)}\right|^k+|P_k(\theta)|<3+\delta_k,$$

where δ_k is as in (1).

2.1. **Proof of Lemma 1.** For $N \geq 1$, let us define

$$\sigma_N(\theta) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{c,d\in\mathbb{Z} \\ c^2+d^2=N \\ (c,d)=1}} \frac{1}{(ce^{i\theta/2}+de^{-i\theta/2})^k}.$$

In the above definition, whenever empty sum appears, it is assumed to be 0. Now

$$F_k(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{c,d\in\mathbb{Z} \\ (c,d)=1}} \frac{1}{(ce^{i\theta/2}+de^{-i\theta/2})^k},$$

for $\theta \in [\pi/2, 2\pi/3]$. Since the series defining the Eisenstein series of weight $k \geq 4$ is absolutely convergent, we can write

$$F_k(\theta) = \sum_{N\geq 1} \sigma_N(\theta).$$

One can easily see that

$$\sigma_1(\theta) = 2\cos(k\theta/2) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_2(\theta) = \left(\frac{1}{2\cos(\theta/2)}\right)^k + \left(\frac{1}{2i\sin(\theta/2)}\right)^k.$$

Hence

$$F_k(\theta) = 2\cos(k\theta/2) + \left(\frac{1}{2\cos(\theta/2)}\right)^k + \left(\frac{1}{2i\sin(\theta/2)}\right)^k + P_k(\theta), \tag{6}$$

where

$$P_k(\theta) := \sum_{N\geq 5} \sigma_N(\theta).$$

Now we split the above sum and write

$$P_k(\theta) = \sum_{5\leq N\leq A} \sigma_N(\theta) + \sum_{N\geq B} \sigma_N(\theta), \tag{7}$$

for some integer $A \geq 5$ and B, the least integer larger than A which can be written as sum of squares of two co-prime integers.

Note that for any two real numbers c, d one has $|cd| \leq \frac{c^2+d^2}{2}$. Since $-1 \leq 2\cos\theta \leq 0$ for $\theta \in [\pi/2, 2\pi/3]$, we obtain

$$|ce^{i\theta/2}+de^{-i\theta/2}|^2 = c^2+d^2+2cd\cos\theta \geq c^2+d^2-|cd| \geq \frac{c^2+d^2}{2}. \tag{8}$$

Thus we get

$$\left|\sum_{5\leq N\leq A} \sigma_N(\theta)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{5\leq N\leq A} \sum_{\substack{c,d\in\mathbb{Z} \\ c^2+d^2=N \\ (c,d)=1}} \frac{1}{(c^2+d^2-|cd|)^{k/2}}.$$

For any natural number N, there are at most $2(2N^{1/2}+1)$ pairs (c,d) of integers such that $c^2+d^2 = N$. Hence for the second sum in (7), using (8) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\sum_{N\geq B}\sigma_N(\theta)\right| &\leq \sum_{N\geq B}\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)^{k/2}(2N^{1/2}+1)\\ &\leq \left(2+\frac{1}{\sqrt{B}}\right)2^{k/2}\sum_{N\geq B}N^{(1-k)/2}\\ &\leq \left(2+\frac{1}{\sqrt{B}}\right)2^{k/2}\int_{B-1}^{\infty}x^{(1-k)/2}dx\\ &= \left(2+\frac{1}{\sqrt{B}}\right)\frac{2^{(k+2)/2}}{k-3}\left(\frac{1}{B-1}\right)^{(k-3)/2}.\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$|P_k(\theta)| \leq \frac{1}{2}\sum_{5\leq N\leq A}\sum_{\substack{c,d\in\mathbb{Z}\\ c^2+d^2=N\\ (c,d)=1}}\frac{1}{(c^2+d^2-|cd|)^{k/2}}+\left(2+\frac{1}{\sqrt{B}}\right)\frac{2^{(k+2)/2}}{k-3}\left(\frac{1}{B-1}\right)^{(k-3)/2}. \tag{9}$$

Let us denote the right hand side of (9) by p_k. Using a C++ programming we obtain optimal values of p_k as given in Table 1. The values of p_k is best possible up to second decimal place. Our choice of A is also indicated in the table.

k	A	p_k
4	3×10^6	0.759
6	536	0.179
8	23	0.059
10	14	0.019
12 or more	20	$0.359\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k/2}$

Table 1

The computation of the values of p_k, when $k\geq 6$ has taken only a few seconds, whereas for p_4, the programme ran for about two hours. Our proof is now complete. □

2.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.** It is easy to see that $F_k(\theta)$ is real valued for $\theta\in[\pi/2, 2\pi/3]$. Further,

$$\Delta(e^{i\theta})e^{6i\theta} = \frac{F_4^3(\theta)-F_6^2(\theta)}{1728}\in\mathbb{R} \quad \text{for} \quad \theta\in[\pi/2,2\pi/3].$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}G(\theta) := e^{ik\theta/2}f(e^{i\theta}) &= e^{ik\theta/2}E_k(e^{i\theta})+\sum_{j=1}^{m(k)}a_j e^{i(k-12j)\theta/2}E_{k-12j}(e^{i\theta})\Delta(e^{i\theta})^j e^{6ij\theta}\\ &= F_k(\theta)+\sum_{j=1}^{m(k)}a_j F_{k-12j}(\theta)\Delta(e^{i\theta})^j e^{6ij\theta}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m(k)$, we see that $G(\theta)$ is real valued for $\theta \in [\pi/2, 2\pi/3]$. Also by Lemma 1 we have,

$$G(\theta) = 2\cos(k\theta/2) + \left(\frac{1}{2\cos(\theta/2)}\right)^k + \left(\frac{1}{2i\sin(\theta/2)}\right)^k + P_k(\theta) + \sum_{j=1}^{m(k)} a_j F_{k-12j}(\theta)\Delta(e^{i\theta})^j e^{6ij\theta}.$$

We show that

$$|G(\theta) - 2\cos(k\theta/2)| < 2. \tag{10}$$

By Remark 4,

$$\begin{aligned} |G(\theta) - 2\cos(k\theta/2)| &< 1 + \delta_{12} + \sum_{j=1}^{m(k)} |a_j||F_{k-12j}(\theta)|\epsilon^j \\ &\leq 1 + \delta_{12} + (3 + \delta_{12}) \sum_{j=1}^{m(k)-1} |a_j|\epsilon^j + (3 + \delta_s)|a_{m(k)}|\epsilon^{m(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $|G(\theta) - 2\cos(k\theta/2)| < 2$ by our hypothesis. Now we argue as in [8]. By (10), we get that between two consecutive extremum points of $\cos(k\theta/2)$, there is a zero of $G(\theta)$. Now the extremum points of $\cos(k\theta/2)$ for $\theta \in [\pi/2, 2\pi/3]$ are given by $\frac{2\pi n}{k}$, where $\frac{k}{4} \leq n \leq \frac{k}{3}$ i.e. $3m(k) + \frac{s}{4} \leq n \leq 4m(k) + \frac{s}{3}$. So we have $m(k) + 1$ such n's. Therefore $G(\theta)$ has $m(k)$ zeros on $(\pi/2, 2\pi/3)$. This, together with the valence formula, completes the proof. □

3. Properties of $G_k(\theta)$

3.1. **Approximation of $G_k(\theta)$ by $2\cos(k\theta/2)$.** We write $G_k(\theta) = e^{ik\theta/2} f_k(e^{i\theta})$ as

$$G_k(\theta) = 2\cos(k\theta/2) + S_k(\theta),$$

where by Lemma 1,

$$S_k(\theta) = \left(\frac{1}{2\cos(\theta/2)}\right)^k + \left(\frac{1}{2i\sin(\theta/2)}\right)^k + P_k(\theta) + \sum_{j=1}^{m(k)} a_j^{(k)} F_{k-12j}(\theta)\Delta(e^{i\theta})^j e^{6ij\theta},$$

with $|P_k(\theta)| < 0.359\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k/2}$. Let

$$Q_k(\theta) := \left(\frac{1}{2i\sin(\theta/2)}\right)^k + P_k(\theta) + \sum_{j=1}^{m(k)} a_j^{(k)} F_{k-12j}(\theta)\Delta(e^{i\theta})^j e^{6ij\theta}. \tag{11}$$

Then by Lemma 1, Remark 4 and our hypothesis we get

$$|Q_k(\theta)| \leq \left|\left(\frac{1}{2i\sin(\theta/2)}\right)^k\right| + |P_k(\theta)| + \sum_{j=1}^{m(k)} |a_j^{(k)}||F_{k-12j}(\theta)|\epsilon^j < 21.359\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k/2}. \tag{12}$$

Thus

$$G_k(\theta) = 2\cos(k\theta/2) + S_k(\theta) = 2\cos(k\theta/2) + \left(\frac{1}{2\cos(\theta/2)}\right)^k + Q_k(\theta)$$

with $|Q_k(\theta)| < 21.359\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k/2}$. By following the proof of [7, Lemma 4.1], we observe that

$$0 < S_k(\theta) < 1, \quad \text{whenever } k \geq 24 \text{ and } \theta \in [19\pi/32, 2\pi/3 - 2\pi/3k]. \tag{13}$$

Further, we argue as in the proof of [7, Lemma 4.4] to obtain that for $\theta \in [19\pi/32, \alpha_{m(k)}]$, the function

$$g(\theta) := (2\cos(\theta/2))^{-k} - (2\cos(\theta/2))^{-(k+12)}$$

is minimised at $\theta = 19\pi/32$. Hence for $k \geq 24$

$$\begin{aligned} S_k(\theta) - S_{k+12}(\theta) &= g(\theta) + Q_k(\theta) - Q_{k+12}(\theta) \\ &> g\left(\frac{19\pi}{32}\right) - \frac{21.359}{2^{k/2}} - \frac{21.359}{2^{(k+12)/2}} \\ &> \left(2\cos\left(\frac{19\pi}{64}\right)\right)^{-k}\left(1 - \left(2\cos\left(\frac{19\pi}{64}\right)\right)^{-12}\right) - \frac{24.919}{2^{k/2}} \\ &> \frac{0.877}{(1.192)^k} - \frac{24.919}{(1.414)^k} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $k \geq 24$ and $\theta \in [19\pi/32, \alpha_{m(k)}]$,

$$S_k(\theta) > S_{k+12}(\theta). \tag{14}$$

3.2. **Zeros of $G_k(\theta)$ and $G_{k+12}(\theta)$.** Let $\alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_{m(k)}$ and $\beta_1 < \cdots < \beta_{m(k)+1}$ denote the zeros of $\cos(k\theta/2)$ and $\cos((k+12)\theta/2)$ in $(\pi/2, 2\pi/3)$, respectively. Then

$$\alpha_j = \begin{cases} (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2j-1}{k})\pi & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \bmod 4, \\ (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2j}{k})\pi & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \bmod 4, \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq m(k)$ and

$$\beta_j = \begin{cases} (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2j-1}{k+12})\pi & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \bmod 4, \\ (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2j}{k+12})\pi & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \bmod 4, \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq m(k)+1$. We observe the following properties: for $1 \leq j \leq m(k)$,

$$\beta_j < \alpha_j < \beta_{j+1} \tag{17}$$

$$\min_{1\leq j\leq m(k)} \{\alpha_j - \beta_j, \beta_{j+1} - \alpha_j\} \geq \frac{12\pi}{k(k+12)} \tag{18}$$

and

$$\alpha_{j+1} - \alpha_j = \frac{2\pi}{k} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq j \leq m(k) - 1. \tag{19}$$

Hence we have,

$$\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}\left(\alpha_j - \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)}\right)\right)\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}\left(\alpha_j + \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)}\right)\right) < 0 \tag{20}$$

and

$$\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}\left(\alpha_j - \frac{\pi}{3k}\right)\right)\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}\left(\alpha_j + \frac{\pi}{3k}\right)\right) < 0. \tag{21}$$

Remark 5. From (15) and (16) it follows that $k \geq 24$, whenever $\alpha_j + \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)} > \frac{23\pi}{36}$ or $\alpha_j \geq \frac{19\pi}{32} + \frac{\pi}{3k}$ or $\beta_j + \frac{6\pi}{(k+12)(k+24)} > \frac{23\pi}{36}$.

We now associate the zeros of $G_k(\theta)$ with the zeros of $\cos(k\theta/2)$.

Lemma 2. *Let $k \geq 12$ and $\alpha_1^* < \cdots < \alpha_{m(k)}^*$ be the zeros of $G_k(\theta)$ in $(\pi/2, 2\pi/3)$. Then*

$$\alpha_j^* \in \left(\alpha_j - \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)}, \alpha_j + \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)}\right)$$

for all j such that $\alpha_j + \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)} \leq \frac{23\pi}{36}$ and

$$\alpha_j^* \in \left(\alpha_j - \frac{\pi}{3k}, \alpha_j + \frac{\pi}{3k}\right)$$

for all j such that $\alpha_j \geq 19\pi/32 + \pi/3k$. In particular, $\alpha_j^* \in \left(\alpha_j - \frac{\pi}{3k}, \alpha_j + \frac{\pi}{3k}\right)$ for $1 \leq j \leq m(k)$.

Proof of Lemma 2. Observe that

$$\left|2\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}\left(\alpha_j - \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)}\right)\right)\right| = \left|2\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}\left(\alpha_j + \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)}\right)\right)\right| = 2\sin\left(\frac{3\pi}{k+12}\right).$$

We first claim that $2\sin\left(\frac{3\pi}{k+12}\right) > |S_k(\theta)|$ for $\theta \in (\pi/2, 23\pi/36]$. Note that

$$2\sin\left(\frac{3\pi}{k+12}\right) > 2\left(\frac{3\pi}{k+12} - \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{3\pi}{k+12}\right)^3\right) > \frac{18.365}{k+12}.$$

Hence it is enough to show that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{18.365}{k+12} - |S_k(\theta)| &= \frac{18.365}{k+12} - \left(\frac{1}{2\cos(\theta/2)}\right)^k - |Q_k(\theta)| \\ &> \frac{18.365}{k+12} - \left(\frac{1}{2\cos(\theta/2)}\right)^k - \frac{21.359}{2^{k/2}} > 0.\end{aligned}$$

Now this is true if

$$2^{k/2}\left(18.365(2\cos(\theta/2))^k - (k+12) - \frac{21.359(k+12)}{2^{k/2}}(2\cos(\theta/2))^k\right) > 0,$$

In particular if

$$10.355(2\cos(\theta/2))^k - (k+12) > 0.$$

The quantity $\sqrt[k]{\frac{k+12}{10.355}}$ is maximum for $k = 12$, and therefore $\sqrt[k]{\frac{k+12}{10.355}} < 1.073$. Note that for $\theta \in (\pi/2, 23\pi/36]$, $2\cos(\theta/2) > 1.074$. This proves our first claim.

Since

$$\left|2\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}\left(\alpha_j \pm \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)}\right)\right)\right| > |S_k(\theta)|$$

for $\theta \in (\pi/2, 23\pi/36]$, we get that

$$G_k\left(\alpha_j \pm \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)}\right) = 2\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}\left(\alpha_j \pm \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)}\right)\right) + S_k\left(\alpha_j \pm \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)}\right)$$

have same sign as $2\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}\left(\alpha_j \pm \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)}\right)\right)$. Then from (20) we get that

$$G_k\left(\alpha_j - \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)}\right) G_k\left(\alpha_j + \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)}\right) < 0.$$

This implies that there exists a zero of $G_k(\theta)$ in the interval $\left(\alpha_j - \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)}, \alpha_j + \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)}\right)$ for all j such that $\alpha_j + \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)} \leq \frac{23\pi}{36}$.

It is easy to see from (15) that $\alpha_{m(k)} \leq \frac{2\pi}{3} - \frac{\pi}{k}$. Hence we get $\alpha_{m(k)} + \frac{\pi}{3k} \leq \frac{2\pi}{3} - \frac{2\pi}{3k}$. Since $\cos(k\alpha_j/2) = 0$, we have

$$\left|2\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}\left(\alpha_j - \frac{\pi}{3k}\right)\right)\right| = \left|2\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}\left(\alpha_j + \frac{\pi}{3k}\right)\right)\right| = 1.$$

As $G_k(\theta) = 2\cos(k\theta/2) + S_k(\theta)$, by (13) we get that the sign of $G_k\left(\alpha_j \pm \frac{\pi}{3k}\right)$ is same as that of $2\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}\left(\alpha_j \pm \frac{\pi}{3k}\right)\right)$, whenever $\alpha_j \geq \frac{19\pi}{32} + \frac{\pi}{3k}$. Hence it follows from (21) that

$$G_k\left(\alpha_j - \frac{\pi}{3k}\right) G_k\left(\alpha_j + \frac{\pi}{3k}\right) < 0.$$

This implies that there exists a zero of $G_k(\theta)$ in the interval $\left(\alpha_j - \frac{\pi}{3k}, \alpha_j + \frac{\pi}{3k}\right)$ for all j such that $\alpha_j \geq \frac{19\pi}{32} + \frac{\pi}{3k}$.

From Remark 5 we deduce that a zero α_j of $\cos(k\theta/2)$ in $(\pi/2, 2\pi/3)$ satisfies $\alpha_j + \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)} \leq \frac{23\pi}{36}$ or $\alpha_j \geq \frac{19\pi}{32} + \frac{\pi}{3k}$. Moreover,

$$\left(\alpha_j - \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)}, \alpha_j + \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)}\right) \subset \left(\alpha_j - \frac{\pi}{3k}, \alpha_j + \frac{\pi}{3k}\right).$$

By (19) all the intervals of the form $\left(\alpha_j - \frac{\pi}{3k}, \alpha_j + \frac{\pi}{3k}\right)$ are disjoint for $1 \leq j \leq m(k)$. We have shown above that each of them contains at least one zero of $G_k(\theta)$. We also know that $G_k(\theta)$ has exactly $m(k)$ zeros in $(\pi/2, 2\pi/3)$. Since the zeros of $\cos(k\theta/2)$ and $G_k(\theta)$ have been labelled as per the increasing order of magnitude, the assertion of the lemma follows. □

4. **Proof of Theorem 2**

We closely follow the arguments of Nozaki [7]. However at several places our arguments are simpler. We know that a zero α_j of $\cos(k\theta/2)$ in $(\pi/2, 2\pi/3)$ satisfies $\alpha_j + \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)} \leq \frac{23\pi}{36}$ or $\alpha_j \geq \frac{19\pi}{32} + \frac{\pi}{3k}$. Hence we prove Theorem 2 for these two cases.

4.1. **Case I.** Let $\alpha_j \in \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{23\pi}{36} - \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)}\right]$. Then we prove the following:

(i) $\beta_j^* < \alpha_j^*$.
(ii) $\alpha_j^* < \beta_{j+1}^*$ if $\beta_{j+1} + \frac{6\pi}{(k+12)(k+24)} \leq \frac{23\pi}{36}$.

4.1.1. **Proof of (i).** Since $\alpha_j + \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)} \leq \frac{23\pi}{36}$, we have $\beta_j + \frac{6\pi}{(k+12)(k+24)} \leq \frac{23\pi}{36}$. Applying Lemma 2 for $G_k(\theta)$ and $G_{k+12}(\theta)$, we get

$$\alpha_j^* \in \left(\alpha_j - \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)}, \alpha_j + \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)}\right) \text{ and } \beta_j^* \in \left(\beta_j - \frac{6\pi}{(k+12)(k+24)}, \beta_j + \frac{6\pi}{(k+12)(k+24)}\right).$$

Therefore by (18), we have

$$\beta_j^* < \beta_j + \frac{6\pi}{(k+12)(k+24)} < \beta_j + \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)} \leq \alpha_j - \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)} < \alpha_j^*.$$

4.1.2. **Proof of (ii).** When $\beta_{j+1} + \frac{6\pi}{(k+12)(k+24)} \leq \frac{23\pi}{36}$, by Lemma 2

$$\beta^*_{j+1} \in \left(\beta_{j+1} - \frac{6\pi}{(k+12)(k+24)}, \beta_{j+1} + \frac{6\pi}{(k+12)(k+24)}\right).$$

Therefore by (18), we have

$$\alpha^*_j < \alpha_j + \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)} \leq \beta_{j+1} - \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)} < \beta_{j+1} - \frac{6\pi}{(k+12)(k+24)} < \beta^*_{j+1}.$$

4.2. **Case II.** Let $\alpha_j \in \left[\frac{19\pi}{32} + \frac{\pi}{3k}, \frac{2\pi}{3}\right)$. Then we prove the following:

(iii) $\beta^*_j < \alpha^*_j$ if $\beta_j \geq \frac{19\pi}{32} + \frac{\pi}{3(k+12)}$.

(iv) $\alpha^*_j < \beta^*_{j+1}$.

For an integer n,

$$\cos(n\pi/2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \bmod 4, \\ -1 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \bmod 4, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Further $\cos(n\theta)$ is decreasing at $\pi/2$ if $n \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and increasing at $\pi/2$ if $n \equiv 3 \bmod 4$. Thus if α denotes the first zero of $\cos(n\theta)$ in $(\pi/2, \infty)$, then $\cos(n\theta)$ is decreasing at α if $n \equiv 0, 3 \bmod 4$ and increasing at α if $n \equiv 1, 2 \bmod 4$. Hence if β denotes the first zero of $\cos((n+6)\theta)$ in $(\pi/2, \infty)$, then $\cos((n+6)\theta)$ is increasing at β if $n \equiv 0, 3 \bmod 4$ and decreasing at β if $n \equiv 1, 2 \bmod 4$.

Therefore, for all $1 \leq j \leq m(k)$, if $\cos(k\theta/2)$ is increasing (resp. decreasing) at α_j, then $\cos((k+12)\theta/2)$ is decreasing (resp. increasing) at β_j. We consider two subcases.

(a) The function $\cos(k\theta/2)$ is increasing at α_j.

(b) The function $\cos(k\theta/2)$ is decreasing at α_j.

Note that by Remark 5, we have $k \geq 24$. Now for $k \geq 24$ and $\theta \in [19\pi/32, 2\pi/3 - 2\pi/3k]$, $S_k(\theta) > 0$ (see (13)). Hence $\alpha^*_j \lessgtr \alpha_j$ according as $\cos(k\theta/2)$ is increasing or decreasing at α_j.

4.2.1. **Proof of (iii) and (iv) when (a) occurs.** It follows by our earlier analysis that $\cos((k+12)\theta/2)$ is increasing at β_{j+1}. Also $\beta_{j+1} > \alpha_j > \frac{19\pi}{32} + \frac{\pi}{3(k+12)}$. Hence

$$\alpha^*_j < \alpha_j \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^*_{j+1} < \beta_{j+1}. \tag{22}$$

Further

$$\beta_j < \beta^*_j \quad \text{if} \quad \beta_j \geq \frac{19\pi}{32} + \frac{\pi}{3(k+12)}.$$

The Case (a) is described pictorially below.



In the above one and other picture below, the respective arrows indicate the direction in which β_j^*, α_j^* and β_{j+1}^* lie. We first prove (iii). In fact, we show that the intersection point of the two cosine curves between β_j and α_j separates β_j^* and α_j^*. The function

$$2\cos((k+12)\theta/2) - 2\cos(k\theta/2) = -4\sin((k+6)\theta/2)\sin(3\theta),$$

has a zero between β_j and α_j, say γ_j. For $1 \le j \le m(k)$,

$$\gamma_j = \begin{cases} (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2j-1}{k+6})\pi & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \bmod 4, \\ (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2j}{k+6})\pi & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \bmod 4. \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

We prove that $\beta_j^* \in (\beta_j, \gamma_j)$ and $\alpha_j^* \in (\gamma_j, \alpha_j)$. By (13), $G_k(\alpha_j) > 0$ and $G_{k+12}(\beta_j) > 0$. Thus, we are led to show that $G_k(\gamma_j), G_{k+12}(\gamma_j) < 0$. As in the proof of [7, Lemma 4.2], it follows that

$$|2\cos(k\gamma_j/2)| > S_k(\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad |2\cos((k+12)\gamma_j/2)| > S_{k+12}(\theta),$$

for any j such that $\gamma_j \ge \frac{19\pi}{32}$ and $\theta \in [19\pi/32, 2\pi/3)$.

Since $\cos(k\theta/2)$ is increasing at α_j and $\cos(k\alpha_j/2) = 0$, we get $\cos(k\gamma_j/2) < 0$. Hence $G_k(\gamma_j) < 0$. Similarly one obtains that $G_{k+12}(\gamma_j) < 0$. This completes the proof of (iii) when (a) occurs.

Next we show (iv) i.e. $\alpha_j^* < \beta_{j+1}^*$. Now if $\alpha_j \le \beta_{j+1} - \frac{\pi}{3(k+12)}$, then by (22) and Lemma 2,

$$\alpha_j^* < \alpha_j \le \beta_{j+1} - \frac{\pi}{3(k+12)} < \beta_{j+1}^*,$$

which proves (iv). Hence we need to consider only

$$\beta_{j+1} - \frac{\pi}{3(k+12)} < \alpha_j \quad \text{and also} \quad \alpha_j^*, \beta_{j+1}^* \in \left(\beta_{j+1} - \frac{\pi}{3(k+12)}, \alpha_j\right).$$

From (14) we have, $S_k(\theta) > S_{k+12}(\theta)$ for $\theta \in [\beta_{j+1} - \pi/3(k+12), \alpha_j]$. Further, the function $\cos(k\theta/2) - \cos((k+12)\theta/2)$ takes positive value in the interval (γ_j, γ_{j+1}), where γ_j's are as in (23). It is easy to check that $[\beta_{j+1} - \pi/3(k+12), \alpha_j] \subset (\gamma_j, \gamma_{j+1})$. Therefore, we obtain that

$$G_k(\theta) - G_{k+12}(\theta) = 2\cos(k\theta/2) - 2\cos((k+12)\theta/2) + S_k(\theta) - S_{k+12}(\theta) > 0$$

for $\theta \in [\beta_{j+1} - \pi/3(k+12), \alpha_j]$. Taking $\theta = \alpha_j^*$, we find that $G_{k+12}(\alpha_j^*) < 0$. On the other hand, $G_{k+12}(\beta_{j+1}) > 0$. Hence $\alpha_j^* < \beta_{j+1}^*$. This completes the proof of Case (a).

4.2.2. **Proof of (iii) and (iv) when (b) occurs.** In this case $\cos((k+12)\theta/2)$ is decreasing at β_{j+1}. Hence

$$\alpha_j^* < \alpha_j \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{j+1}^* < \beta_{j+1}. \tag{24}$$

Further

$$\beta_j < \beta_j^* \quad \text{if} \quad \beta_j \ge \frac{19\pi}{32} + \frac{\pi}{3(k+12)}. \tag{25}$$



From (24) and (25) we easily get

$$\beta_j^* < \alpha_j^* \text{ if } \beta_j \geq \frac{19\pi}{32} + \frac{\pi}{3(k+12)},$$

which proves (iii). Thus we proceed to prove (iv) i.e. $\alpha_j^* < \beta_{j+1}^*$. If $\alpha_j + \frac{\pi}{3k} \leq \beta_{j+1}$, then by Lemma 2 and (24), we have

$$\alpha_j^* < \alpha_j + \frac{\pi}{3k} \leq \beta_{j+1} < \beta_{j+1}^*.$$

Hence we can assume that

$$\beta_{j+1} < \alpha_j + \frac{\pi}{3k} \text{ and also } \alpha_j^*, \beta_{j+1}^* \in \left(\beta_{j+1}, \alpha_j + \frac{\pi}{3k}\right).$$

Note that $\beta_{j+1} < \alpha_j + \frac{\pi}{3k}$ implies

$$j > \begin{cases} (5k+24)/72 & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \bmod 4 \\ (5k-12)/72 & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \bmod 4. \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

Here the aim is to show that

$$G_{k+12}(\theta) > G_k(\theta) \text{ for } \theta \in [\beta_{j+1}, \alpha_j + \pi/3k]. \tag{27}$$

If (27) holds, then $G_k(\beta_{j+1}^*) < 0$. Since $G_k(\alpha_j) > 0$, we get $\alpha_j < \alpha_j^* < \beta_{j+1}^*$ as required. Thus it remains to prove (27). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} G_{k+12}(\theta) - G_k(\theta) =& 2\cos((k+12)\theta/2) - 2\cos(k\theta/2) \\ &+ (2\cos(\theta/2))^{-(k+12)} - (2\cos(\theta/2))^{-k} + Q_{k+12}(\theta) - Q_k(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Let $r(\theta) := 2\cos((k+12)\theta/2) - 2\cos(k\theta/2)$. We first find a lower bound for $r(\theta)$ in $[\beta_{j+1}, \alpha_j + \pi/3k]$. For this we show that $r(\theta)$ is decreasing in this interval. Note that $r(\theta) = -4\sin((k+6)\theta/2)\sin(3\theta)$. Now $-\sin(3\theta)$ is decreasing from 1 to 0 in $(\pi/2, 2\pi/3)$. Also $r(\theta) > 0$ for $\theta \in (\gamma_j, \gamma_{j+1})$. Thus $\sin((k+6)\theta/2) > 0$ for $\theta \in (\gamma_j, \gamma_{j+1})$. As γ_j and γ_{j+1} are two consecutive zeros of $\sin((k+6)\theta/2)$, we therefore obtain that $\sin((k+6)\theta/2)$ is decreasing in $\left(\frac{\gamma_j+\gamma_{j+1}}{2}, \gamma_{j+1}\right)$. From (15), (16) and (23), we deduce that

$$\frac{\gamma_j + \gamma_{j+1}}{2} < \beta_{j+1} \text{ and } \alpha_j + \frac{\pi}{3k} < \gamma_{j+1}.$$

Hence $\sin((k+6)\theta/2)$ is decreasing in $[\beta_{j+1}, \alpha_j + \pi/3k]$. As both the functions $\sin((k+6)\theta/2)$ and $-\sin(3\theta)$ are positive and decreasing, we get that $r(\theta)$ is decreasing in $[\beta_{j+1}, \alpha_j + \pi/3k]$. Therefore

$r(\theta)$ is minimised at $\alpha_j + \pi/3k$ for $\theta \in [\beta_{j+1}, \alpha_j + \pi/3k]$. Note that $2\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}\left(\alpha_j + \frac{\pi}{3k}\right)\right) = -1$. Now

$$\alpha_j + \frac{\pi}{3k} = \begin{cases} \beta_{j+1} + \frac{72j-5k-24}{3k(k+12)}\pi & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \bmod 4, \\ \beta_{j+1} + \frac{72j-5k+12}{3k(k+12)}\pi & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \bmod 4. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\left|2\cos\left(\frac{k+12}{2}\left(\alpha_j + \frac{\pi}{3k}\right)\right)\right| = \begin{cases} \left|2\sin\left(\frac{72j-5k-24}{6k}\pi\right)\right| & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \bmod 4, \\ \left|2\sin\left(\frac{72j-5k+12}{6k}\pi\right)\right| & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \bmod 4. \end{cases}$$

From (26) and the condition $j \le (k-s)/12$, we get that if $k \equiv 0 \bmod 4$,

$$0 < \frac{72j-5k-24}{6k} \le \frac{k-6s-24}{6k} \le \frac{1}{6} - \frac{4}{k}$$

and if $k \equiv 2 \bmod 4$,

$$0 < \frac{72j-5k+12}{6k} \le \frac{k-6s+12}{6k} \le \frac{1}{6} - \frac{4}{k}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left|2\cos\left(\frac{k+12}{2}\left(\alpha_j + \frac{\pi}{3k}\right)\right)\right| \le 2\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{6} - \frac{4\pi}{k}\right).$$

The equation of the tangent line of the sine curve at $\pi/6$ is

$$y = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(x - \frac{\pi}{6}\right).$$

Hence $2\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{6} - \frac{4\pi}{k}\right) < 1 - \frac{4\sqrt{3}\pi}{k}$.

Next let $t(\theta) := (2\cos(\theta/2))^{-(k+12)} - (2\cos(\theta/2))^{-k}$. Then

$$t'(\theta) = \sin(\theta/2)(2\cos(\theta/2))^{-(k+1)}\left((k+12)(2\cos(\theta/2))^{-12} - k\right).$$

So $t(\theta)$ is minimised when $(2\cos(\theta/2))^{-12} = \frac{k}{k+12}$. We thus get,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{k+12}(\theta) - G_k(\theta) &> 1 - \left(1 - \frac{4\sqrt{3}\pi}{k}\right) + \left(\frac{k}{k+12}\right)^{k/12}\left(\frac{k}{k+12} - 1\right) - 42.718\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k/2} \\ &> \frac{4\sqrt{3}\pi}{k} - \frac{12}{k+12} - 42.718\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k/2} \\ &= \left(\frac{12}{k} - \frac{12}{k+12}\right) + \frac{4\sqrt{3}\pi - 12}{k} - 42.718\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k/2} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Case (b).

4.3. **Remaining cases.** By Cases I and II, in order to complete the proof of Theorem 2, we need to prove the following two cases.

(v) $\alpha_j^* < \beta_{j+1}^*$ when $\alpha_j \in \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{23\pi}{36} - \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)}\right]$ and $\beta_{j+1} + \frac{6\pi}{(k+12)(k+24)} > \frac{23\pi}{36}$.

(vi) $\beta_j^* < \alpha_j^*$ when $\alpha_j \in \left[\frac{19\pi}{32} + \frac{\pi}{3k}, \frac{2\pi}{3}\right)$ and $\beta_j < \frac{19\pi}{32} + \frac{\pi}{3(k+12)}$.

4.3.1. **Proof of (v).** We show that $\alpha_j \geq \frac{19\pi}{32} + \frac{\pi}{3k}$. Hence by §4.2, $\alpha_j^* < \beta_{j+1}^*$. Suppose that $\alpha_j < \frac{19\pi}{32} + \frac{\pi}{3k}$. Then using (15) we get that

$$27k > \begin{cases} 576j - 384 & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \bmod 4, \\ 576j - 96 & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \bmod 4. \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

Since $\beta_{j+1} + \frac{6\pi}{(k+12)(k+24)} > \frac{23\pi}{36}$, it follows from Remark 5 and (16) that $k \geq 24$ and

$$0 < \begin{cases} (k+24)(72j - 5k - 24) + 216 & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \bmod 4, \\ (k+24)(72j - 5k + 12) + 216 & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \bmod 4 \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

respectively. Using (28) in (29) we get that

$$0 < \begin{cases} (k+24)(-13k + 192) + 1728 & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \bmod 4, \\ (k+24)(-13k) + 1728 & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \bmod 4. \end{cases}$$

This is a contradiction since $k \geq 24$.

4.3.2. **Proof of (vi).** As in (v), we can deduce that $\alpha_j + \frac{6\pi}{k(k+12)} \leq \frac{23\pi}{36}$. Hence $\beta_j^* < \alpha_j^*$ by §4.1. □

Acknowledgement: We would like to thank Biswajyoti Saha and Jhansi Bhavani V. for helping us with the computations in Lemma 1.

References

[1] T. Asai, M. Kaneko and H. Ninomiya, Zeros of certain modular functions and an application, *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul.* **46** (1997), no. 1, 93–101.

[2] W. Duke and P. Jenkins, On the zeros and coefficients of certain weakly holomorphic modular forms, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **4** (2008), no. 4, Special Issue: In honor of Jean-Pierre Serre, Part 1, 1327–1340.

[3] E.-U. Gekeler, Some observations on the arithmetic of Eisenstein series for the modular group $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **77** (2001), 5–21.

[4] J. Getz, A generalization of a theorem of Rankin and Swinnerton-Dyer on zeros of modular forms, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **132** (2004), no. 8, 2221–2231.

[5] P. Jenkins and K. Pratt, Interlacing of zeros of weakly holomorphic modular forms, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B* **1** (2014), 63–77.

[6] J. Jermann, Interlacing property of the zeros of $j_n(\tau)$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **140** (2012), no. 10, 3385–3396.

[7] H. Nozaki, A separation property of the zeros of Eisenstein series for $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **40** (2008), no. 1, 26–36.

[8] F. K. C. Rankin and H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer, On the zeros of Eisenstein series, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **2** (1970), 169–170.

[9] R. A. Rankin, The zeros of certain Poincaré series, *Compositio Math.* **46** (1982), no. 3, 255–272.

Ekata Saha and N. Saradha,

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Navy Nagar, Mumbai, 400 005, India

E-mail address: ekata@math.tifr.res.in

E-mail address: saradha@math.tifr.res.in